PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

RFP# 2023-027

Date Submitted:	August 29, 2023	Procurement Process:	Request for Proposals (RFP)		
Submitted by:	Amy Scott	Length of Advertising:	Per GA Code for Professional Srvs		
Supplier Name:	Ecolane	Source Selection Meth	nod: Highest Proposal Scoring		
Description:	Micro- Transit for CATS	Fair Price Determination	on: Best Value: RFP Evaluation Criteria		
Agreement Type:	PSA - Standard	Not Low Bid Award:	See Proposal Scoring Totals		
Contract Number:	027-2023-00	County Business:	No County Businesses Responded		
Contract Category:	IT Hardware or Software	Submissions Received	: 3 Vendors Contacted: 56		
Contract Term:	Other - See Notes	RFP/RFQ Sel	ection Criteria Used in Selection Process		
Contract Value:	\$342,018.00	Weight	Evaluation Criteria		
Contract Options/Renewals:	Other - See Notes	60%	Qualifications - Technical		
Source of Funds:	Grant - Federal	40%	Cost of Item(s) and/or Services		
Debarred/Suspended:	No (screen-print on file)				
SAM Requirement:	Meets SAM Requirement				
BOC Meeting Prepared for:	August 1, 2023				

Bidder/Proposing Business	Place of Business	Evaluated Price	Score	
Ecolane	Wayne, PA	\$342,018.00	145.00	
HBSS	Lowell, MA	\$355,578.02	138.00	
VIA	New York, NY	\$502,300.00	122.00	
*Important Price Evaluation Notes	Hew rong H	ψ302,300.00	122.00	

The County issued an RFP for a Micro-Transit solution for Cherokee County Area Transportation Systems. The terms for this solicitation are a one (1) year principal solution with an option of four (4) additional years if successful. Three (3) responsive and responsible proposals were received and evaluated. Additional points were issued for in-person presentations. Based on the add-ons, pricing, and technical scores, Procurement recommends Ecolane USA based on all scores.

Other Important Considerations

There were 2 other firms that submitted their proposals but were deemed unresponsive because they were not registered with the System for Award Management (SAM.GOV) Which is required for all Federally funded projects.

Percent of Category	Points	Area of Evaluation	Ecolane USA	HBSS	VIA	Ecolane USA	HBSS	VIA		olane USA	HBSS	VIA		lane SA HB	SSS	VIA
cutegory		(PAGE / MARCINIA) / FAIL \				Evaluator	1			luator 2				iator 3		
		(PASS / MARGINAL / FAIL) National Transit Database Reporting (page 6)				Desc	Dana	Dana		Dans	Manainal	Dana	D	240	-:!	densinal.
		Does the software allow CATS to book standing orders?(page 11)				Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		Pass Pass	Marginal Pass	Pass Marginal		ass Marg	ginal N ass	Pass
		Does the software allow different funding sources? (page 11) Does the system have the ability to run Micro-Transit and Demand Response service				Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Marginal		ass Pa		Pass
		within the same system? (page 11)				Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pa	ass Pa	iss	Pass
		Does the system allow drivers to fill out their pre/post trip within your system?(Page 11)				Pass	Pass	Fail		Pass	Pass	Fail	Pa	ass Pa	ess	Fail
		Does the system allow the dispatcher to see all routes at one time? (Page 11) Does the system have a tracking system to assist with vehicle maintenance? (page				Pass	Pass	Pass			Marginal	Marginal		ass Marg		Pass
		11) Did the proposer provide the process for lunches and breaks for the drivers? (page				Pass	Pass	Fail		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pa	ass Pa	ass	Fail
		11)				Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass			iss	Pass
		General Technical Requirements(page 12-13) Hosting Requirements (page 13-16)				Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	_	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		ass Pa		Pass Pass
		System Availability (page 16-17)				Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass		ass Pa		Pass
		Error Handling Requirements (page 17) Database/Data Management Requirements (page 17-18)				Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		ass Pa		Pass Pass
		Backup and System Recovery(page 18) Security and Audit (page 18-22)				Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		ass Pa		Pass Pass
		Data/Information Security Breach Requirements(page 22)				Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pa	ass Pa	iss	Pass
		Virus, Malicious, Mischievous or Destructive Programming (page 22-23) System and User Documentation (page 23-24)				Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	_	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		ass Pa ass Pa		Pass Pass
		Training Requirements (page 24) Production, Test, and Training Platforms(page 24)				Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	_	Pass Pass	Pass Pass	Pass Pass		ass Pa		Pass Pass
		Interfaces/Imports/Exports Requirements (page 24)				Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pa	ass Pa	iss	Pass
		System Performance Requirements (page 25-26)				Pass	Pass	Pass		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pa	ass Pa	iss	Pass
Possible																
Points:	30	Understanding and Approach of pro														
5% 5%	1.75	5 5 11	1.75 1.37	1.60 1.75	1.24	1.75 1.31	1.75 1.75	1.75 1.31		1.75 1.75	1.75 1.75	0.22 1.05			1.31	1.75
5%	1.75	E. Data Visualization, Reports, And Data Access	1.52	1.75	1.46	1.75	1.75	1.31		1.75	1.75	1.75		1.05	1.75	1.31
5% 5%	1.75 1.75		1.37 1.60	1.60 1.46	1.60 1.75	1.31 1.31	1.31 1.31	1.75 1.75		1.05 1.75	1.75 1.75	1.75 1.75			1.75 1.31	1.31 1.75
20%	7.00	Proposal provided the fundamental design of the software application to include the database structure, connectivity and the method of data entry/user interface.(page	6.07	4.90	4.67	7.00	5.25	2.80		4.20	4.20	7.00		7.00	5.25	4.20
2070	7.00	12)	0.07	50	,		5.25	2.00		20	20	7.00		7.00	5.25	20
25%	8.75	Proposal provided implementation services, with particular emphasis on planning, conversion and process change management. Provide a sample incremental plan. (page 12)	6.85	6.13	5.69	8.75	6.56	6.56		5.25	5.25	5.25		6.56	6.56	5.25
10%	3.50	Proposal provided issues and challenges the County might anticipate in this software	2.33	2.16	3.03	1.40	2.63	3.50		3.50	2.10	3.50		2.10	1.75	2.10
10%	3.50	(page 12) Proposal provided an outline that the internal user would follow in question 6 page 12 of RFP.	3.50	3.03	2.48	3.50	3.50	3.50		3.50	2.10	0.44		3.50	3.50	3.50
10%	3.50	Proposal provided an outline that the internal user would follow for situations in	3.03	3.50	3.21	3.50	3.50	3.50		2.10	3.50	2.63		3.50	3.50	3.50
100%	35.00	question 7 on page 12 of the RFP.	29.40	27.88	26.35	31.59	29.31	27.74		26.60	25.90	25.33	3		8.44	25.99
Possible	10	Logical Layout and intuitive Form	at													
Points: 100%		B. Passenger Facing App with Associated Web-based Platform (page 6)	8.33	8.00	7.83	10.00	10.00	10.00		10.00	10.00	7.50		5.00	4.00	6.00
100%	10.00		8.33	8.00	7.83	10.00		10.00		10.00	10.00	7.50			4.00	6.00
Possible	15	Qualifications and References														
Points:		Provide a list of at least 3 current customers using your product in a similar capacity.(7.50		7.50				7.50	7.56	7.50
100%	7.50 15.00	page 12 #3)	7.50 7.50	7.50 7.50	7.50 7.50	7.50 15.00		7.50 15.00		7.50 15.00	7.50 15.00	7.50 15.00			7.50	7.50 15.00
	10.00		7.50	7.50	7.50	15.00	13.00	13.00		15.00	13.00	13.00		5.00	5.00	13.00
Possible Points:	40	Cost														
30%	12.00	Proposal provided any available technical support services and each associated cost for the internal user. (page 12 #5)	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00		12.00	12.00	12.00	1	12.00	2.00	12.00
10%	4.00	Proposer provided detailed pricing and included itemized total cost.(page 12	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00		4.00	4.00	4.00		4.00	4.00	4.00
20%		question 8a). Proposer provide all charges that would occur with each transaction for the Agency and for the end user, all will be considered part of the total price as our consumer	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00		8.00		8.00	8.00	8.00			8.00	8.00
		bares the cost of this product. (page 12 question 8b.)														
10% 15%	4.00 6.00	Proposer provide implementation fees for the product. (page 12 question 8C.) Proposer provided league management fees if separate from the company's product.	4.00 6.00	4.00 6.00	4.00 6.00	6.00		6.00		4.00 6.00	4.00 6.00	4.00 6.00			4.00 6.00	6.00
		(page 13 8d.) Proposal provided web hosting cost if separate from company's product. (page 13												-		
15%	6.00	8e.)	6.00 40.00	6.00 40.00	6.00 40.00	40.00		40.00		40.00	6.00 40.00	40.00			6.00	40.00
						.5.00	-									
95% 40%	95.00 40.00	Total Technical Price Score	85.23 40.00	83.38 38.47	81.69 27.24				_							
135%	135	Price + Technical	125.23	121.86	108.92	96.59	94.31	92.74		91.60	90.90	87.83	g	90.01 8	7.44	86.99
		Companies in order of scoring		2	3	±					-	J				
		Company Name	Ecolane USA	HBSS	VIA											
			1	2	3											
	135.00 20.00	Price=Technical Presentation Points	125.23 19.50	121.86 15.75	108.92 12.80											
	155	Total	145	138	122											

Proposal Price Breakdown	Ecolane USA	HBSS	VIA	4	5
Year 1	\$ 125,574.00	\$ 70,472.38	\$ 65,500.00		
Year 2	\$54,111.00	\$ 71,276.41	\$109,200.00		
Year 3	\$54,111.00	\$ 71,276.41	\$109,200.00		
Year 4	\$54,111.00	\$ 71,276.41	\$109,200.00		
Year 5	\$54,111.00	\$ 71,276.41	\$109,200.00		
Total	\$ 342,018.00	\$ 355,578.02	\$ 502,300.00	\$ -	\$ -

Option 1 Cost					
MS Directory					
Option 2 Cost					
Option 2 Description					
Total + Options	\$ 342,018.00	\$ 355,578.02	\$ 502,300.00	\$ -	\$ -
Notes					
Vendor's Place of Business	Wayne, PA	Lowell, MA	New York, NY		

Required Form Check

	Ecolane USA	HBSS	VIA	GOIN	Rideco	
Appendix A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Appendix B	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Appendix C	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Appendix D	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Appendix E	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Appendix F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Appendix F Sam-GOV	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Evidence of Insurance	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Certifications/license / Registration if required by Law	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Pricing Sheet	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
FTA Clauses	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
				NOT SAM.GOV	Inactive SAM.GOV	
				* SAM. GOV is mandatory		